**World Bank Loan for Road Development Projects**

978. SHRI MOHINDER SINGH LATHER:
SHRI ISH DUTT YADAV:

Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a loan of Rs. 200 crores was approved by the World Bank in 1985 for the road development projects in the country;

(b) if so, what is the actual amount of loan utilised so far in the road building activities in the country stating the reasons for non-utilisation of the loan amount in full;

(c) what is the total interest paid on the loan so far and what is the estimated amount of interest likely to be paid on the remaining amount of loan; and

(d) what measures have been taken by Government to accelerate the pace of project implementation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI RAJESH PILOT): (a) A loan of 200 million US dollars was approved in 1985 by the World Bank for National Highway projects in the country.

(b) As per the Staff Appraisal Report of the Bank, the utilisation of the loan upto 31-3-89 should have been $ 135.4 million but so far as a sum of US $ 37.924 million has been utilised. The full amount has not been utilised due to slow pace of project implementation on account of delays in finalisation of contracts for Varanasi Bridge in U.P. and two packages of N.H. 45 in Tamil Nadu which involved litigation and court judgements, besides slow working by certain contractors in terms of mobilising the required men, material and equipment at site.

(c) The total interest paid so far is US $ 2.636 million. The estimated amount of interest likely to be paid cannot be quantified at this point of time because the terminal date of disbursement of the loan is 31.12.1992 and the pace of disbursement cannot be foreseen.

(d) The Government has been making all possible efforts to accelerate the pace of progress through review meetings with the State PWDs and Contractors and removing bottlenecks if any, apart from issue of notices to slow performing contractors where necessary.

**UGC Grants for Vidyasagar University**

979. DR. R. K. PODDAR:
SHRI MOSTAFA BIN QUASEM:

Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Vidyasagar Uuniversity, Midnapore, West Bengal is receiving any financial assistance from the University Grants Commission; and

(b) if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER): (a) No, Sir.

(b) According to Section 12-B of the UGC Act, 1956 no grant shall be given by the Central Government, the University Grants Commission or any other organization receiving any funds from the Central Government to a University established after June 17, 1972 unless the Commission has, after satisfying itself to such matters as may be prescribed declared such University to be fit for receiving such grants. In pursuance of the above provisions, rules have been framed by the UGC prescribing the conditions required to be fulfilled by universities

for being declared fit. As the Vidyasagar University has not yet fulfilled all the prescribed conditions it has not been declared fit for assistance under Section 12-B of the UGC Act.

**Surplus teachers in Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan**

980. SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR:
SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA:
DR. R. K. PODDAR:

Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the category and Vidyalayas-wise details of teachers of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan who have been rendered surplus as per revised sanction of staff-strength issued in June-July, 1989, for the current academic session and what is the justification therefor;

(b) what is the procedure prescribed for adjusting them in the region they are presently posted in;

(c) whether a teacher rendered surplus, can be adjusted against a vacancy of another category; if so, for how long; and

(d) whether all India Kendriya Vidyalayas Teachers Association has represented against it; if so, what is the reaction of the Sangathan thereto?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER): (a) Only 89 yoga teachers have been rendered surplus. No other category of teachers has been rendered surplus in Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan as a whole. These yoga teachers have been rendered surplus as per the revised policy of teaching of yoga in Kendriya Vidyalayas by appointment of full time yoga teachers in larger schools and part-time teachers in smaller schools. Requirement of Yoga teachers for schools is now determined according to teaching load.

(b) There is no proposal to retrench these yoga teachers; 95 yoga teachers who had been sponsored by Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan would be completing B.P.Ed. Degree course of Jivaji University, Gwalior during the current year. They would be offered the post of Physical Education Teachers for which there is a requirement. Adjustment of the remaining surplus yoga teachers, if any, would be done locally on cluster basis.

In respect of other categories, there may be cases of teachers rendered surplus to the requirement of a particular Kendriya Vidyalaya. Such teachers are adjusted in another Vidyalaya of the same region.

(c) Normally this is not done.

(d) The All India Kendriya Vidyalaya Teachers Association in its representation dated 28-6-1989 have represented that subject teachers have been rendered surplus on account of sanction of posts of Yoga teachers and Physical Education Teachers. Since Yoga and Physical Education are to be taught as separate disciplines, separate teachers have been provided for yoga and Physical Education on the staff strength of a Vidyalaya. However, this has not rendered subject teachers surplus to the requirement of Kendriya Vidyalay Sangathan as a whole.

**U.S. President's talk with the Prime Minister on Indo-Pak talks**

981. SHRI KAPIL VERMA:
SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA:
KUMARI SAYEEDA KHATUN:

Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has seen the New York Times report that President Bush had in a telephone talk with Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi last